

*It was M/S/C Maryanne L (RD, Connecticut)/Gene J (RD, Wisconsin),
"To call the question."
Motion Carried by Voice Vote.*

Lynn S (RD, Northern New York) voiced an objection to calling the question for the purpose of stifling debate.

*It was M/S/F Dede R (RD, Show-Me)/Pam H (RD, Mid-Atlantic), Motion #7:
"To have a six-year moratorium of seating new United States regions."
Motion Failed by Voice Vote.*

*It was M/D Demetrius P (RD, Georgia), Motion #6:
"NA World Services shall organize, host and facilitate the first meeting of a new, Assembly of United States Regions in 2005. This assembly shall be a three day event conducted in the most cost efficient, centrally located city available in the United States so as to encourage as many Regions within the United States as possible to attend and participate. This assembly shall be a one-time responsibility of NA World Services. Each Region will be responsible for the cost of room nights and food for their respective participants as well as transportation to and from the assembly. Included in the agenda for the assembly shall be the following topics: Assembly Guidelines Discussion; Financial Responsibility for future assemblies; and Mission/Vision discussion."
Motion Died For Lack of a Second.*

*It was M/S/F Ron M (RD, Florida)/Walter B (Alternate RD, Free State), Motion #4:
"That the World Board investigates and researches some possible ways to gather and publish service experience from the NA fellowship for the purpose of helping NA members learn more about the functions of GSRs. The World Board will report the results of its investigation to conference participants."
Motion Failed by Voice Vote.*

A straw poll revealed that most participants do not support the motion.

Steve R (CF) recessed the meeting for the day at 9:50 am.

Jim DeLizia BS

Tuesday, 30 April 2002

Jane N (WB Chair) opened the session at 9:00 am with a moment of silence followed by the Serenity Prayer.

Roll call #4 (see Addendum A)
Conducted by Cary S (WB)
111 participants are present
93 regions are present

Concept Three and Tradition Three were read. The daily passage from *Just for Today: Daily Meditations for Recovering Addicts* was also read.

STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR NA WORLD SERVICES

After several announcements, Jane N (WB Chair) opened the Strategic Framework discussion session. She introduced Jim DeLizia, a consultant that NA World Services has used to assist with strategic planning. Jim reviewed the WSC Vision Statement and shared his observation that energy, commitment, organization, and focus are all necessary to achieve any vision statement.

Jim talked about strategic planning and how it involves an ongoing process, a leadership role, and a means to action that moves the organization toward its vision. He then described the board's recent strategic planning process, which included gathering information, reviewing our foundation, setting goals, scanning the environment (analyzing our strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges), identifying key result areas, setting objectives, and finally developing the strategic framework.

Envisioning NAWS 2007

Jim asked the participants at each table to describe NAWS as they envision it to be on 30 April 2007. He asked them to think of organization, purpose, structure, capacity, actions, attitude, service, operations, etc., and focus on what might change from 2002 to 2007. He asked members at each table to share their ideas with the table next to them and identify common ideas. Also, he asked that participants identify those changes that would be the most important in helping NAWS to realize the vision

Group Responses

As with Sunday's small group discussion on making the description of the new WSC a reality, the whole group discussion comments encompassed both concrete and more conceptual visions of the future. Many of the comments dovetailed nicely with the NA World Services Vision Statement: "NA is everywhere it is needed," "PI efforts in countries that are not well acquainted with NA," "All that are seeking recovery can find it in their own language," etc. Other visions of the future included "improved donations," "We have a full World Board," "we trust the Steps, Traditions, Concepts, and our group's conscience," and many more. [For a complete listing of responses, see Addendum C.]

Tables chose their most important priorities, and while these spanned a variety of areas, a number of tables emphasized developing fellowship issues (including but not limited to translations, zonal offices, and more international representation at WSC); public relations (relationships with governments, NA being a household word, multinational PR, etc.); and fund flow (both having more funds and having funds exclusively from Seventh Tradition collections).

Jim asked various groups to report their top priorities. Group reports were dynamic, enthusiastic presentations of the potential NAWS of the future, some even included drawings. Notably, one presentation that talked to a large degree about international development was given in Japanese and then translated into Spanish. Jim DeLizia summarized many of the ideas, pointing out that communication, public relations, and translations were common ideas at all tables and this illustrates their importance in realizing our vision.

Jim indicated that the WB is developing its strategic plan to be used as a true managing tool in the following ways: the plan will have direction, it will be supported by committees/staff work, it will be supported by action and priority, and it will depend on resource alignment.

Jane N (WB Chair) shared that the board will be refining the plan and utilizing the input from today. The board will develop a way of measuring progress in implementing the plan and will revisit the plan for further development at regular intervals. As the plan develops further, it will be brought back to the WSC for presentation and input. She thanked everyone for their participation in this process, and the session ended.